

# REPORT TO CABINET

**REPORT OF:** ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO HOLDER

**REPORT NO:** PLA 758

**DATE:** 1<sup>st</sup> June 2009

<b>TITLE:</b>	Revision to Bourne Town Centre Redevelopment Supplementary Planning Guidance	
<b>KEY DECISION OR POLICY FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL:</b>	Key Decision	
<b>PORTFOLIO HOLDER: NAME AND DESIGNATION:</b>	Cllr Frances Cartwright Economic Development Portfolio	
<b>CONTACT OFFICER:</b>	Karen Sinclair, Planning Policy Service Manager 01476 406438 k.sinclair@southkesteven.gov.uk	
<b>INITIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT:</b>	Carried out and Referred to in paragraph (7) below:	Full impact assessment Required: No
<b>Equality and Diversity</b>		
<b>FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT:</b>	This report is publicly available via the Local Democracy link on the Council's website: <a href="http://www.southkesteven.gov.uk">www.southkesteven.gov.uk</a>	
<b>BACKGROUND PAPERS</b>	Report PLA 411 dated 3 <sup>rd</sup> November 2003 Cabinet Report PLA 748 dated 9 <sup>th</sup> March 2009	

## 1. RECOMMENDATION

- 1.1 That the revised Bourne Town Centre Redevelopment Supplementary Planning Guidance is adopted.

## 2. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

- 2.1 To consider the responses received following consultation on the proposed revisions to the Bourne Town Centre Redevelopment Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) and for the SPG to be adopted.
- 2.2 The SPG provides a planning context and principles to guide the comprehensive redevelopment of the Bourne core area. It therefore, contributes to the Good for Business priority theme and in particular the sustainable growth of Bourne through the development of a key town centre site.

## 3. DETAILS OF REPORT

- 3.1 The proposed revisions to the SPG were subject to six weeks consultation between the 27<sup>th</sup> March and 8<sup>th</sup> May 2009.

- 3.2 A copy of the consultation document was sent to those landowners/occupiers of property directly affected by the proposed changes to the SPG boundary and other interested stakeholders such as the Town Council. Other landowners/occupiers of property within the SPG boundary were notified of the consultation period and availability of consultation documents.
- 3.3 The SPG was also made available at the Council's Bourne area office and the local library, on the website and publication was advertised by way of a statutory notice published in the both the Bourne Local and Stamford Mercury (Bourne edition).
- 3.4 At the end of the consultation period, a total of 7 representations had been received. Of these, 3 related to the proposed revision to the SPG boundary. The remainder relate either to sections of the SPG that were not subject to revision or to a future redevelopment proposal.
- 3.5 The Appendix to the report summarises the representations received together with an officer response and recommendation as to whether the SPG should be changed in response to the representation.

#### **4. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

- 4.1 Do not adopt the revised SPG – the reasons for proposing revisions to the SPG were explored in the previous report to Cabinet (report PLA 748). It is considered that this remains an appropriate course of action.
- 4.2 The concerns raised by the representations have been assessed (as set out in the appendix to the report), however, it is considered that these are either already adequately covered by the SPG or raise matters that can be dealt with as part of the process of bringing forward a development scheme.

#### **5. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 There are no significant resource implications arising from the adoption of the SPG. Costs associated with the publication of statutory adoption notices and reprinting of the document can be accommodated within this financial year's Planning Policy budget.

#### **6. RISK AND MITIGATION (INCLUDING HEALTH AND SAFETY AND DATA QUALITY)**

- 6.1 None identified.

#### **7. ISSUES ARISING FROM EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

- 7.1 The SPG 'hangs off' policies contained in the South Kesteven Local Plan, which has been the subject of an initial equality impact assessment. This concluded that there would not be any differential impact from the application of the policies and that a full assessment was not required.

**8. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

8.1 None arising from this report.

**9. COMMENTS OF SECTION 151 OFFICER**

9.1 I have no specific financial comments to make in respect of this report.

**10. COMMENTS OF MONITORING OFFICER**

10.1 The purpose of the report is to enable Cabinet to consider the results of the consultation, take into account any relevant comments on the revision to the SPG and approve the SPG subject to any accepted proposals made as a result of the consultation.

**11. COMMENTS OF OTHER RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER**

11.1 The adoption of the revised Supplementary Planning Guidance will set out the Council's vision for the redevelopment of Bourne Town centre. This policy advice will have great weight in the determination of any planning application. This will provide policy support for Development Control officers in the discussions/negotiations with potential developers and is welcomed as part of the Development Management Objective set out in the latest Government legislation and advice.

**12. APPENDICES:**

Appendix A Summary of representations